

00000000000000000000000000000000000000
0000000Molecular Clock 000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□
"

Hassabis DDD any problem
Demis Hassabis 000000000000000000000000000000000000
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
AI: A Modern Approach 000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
Leukotomy
$ \begin{tabular}{l} \square
Universal Approximation Theorem Nash Embedding Theorems

00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
A Treatise on Probability
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
10000000000000000000000000000000000000
DDDDDDDDDDDDDDDCCausation DDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDD
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000

\square ПП

ПОПО Царь Пимператор попопопопопопопопопопопопопопопопопоп
$ \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
NIH = 00000000000000000000000000000000000
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
First, if scientists have tried, and failed, to come up with an alternative theory that explains a phenomenon well, that counts as evidence in favor of the original theory. Second, if a theory keeps seeming like a better idea the more you study it, that's another plus-one. And if a line of thought produced a theory that evidence later supported, chances are it will again.
DDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDD

□□□□□□ Are there really many worlds in the "Many-worlds interpretation" of Quantum Mechanics?□□□the development of «decoherence theory» revealed that, using the standard formalism of quantum mechanics, macroscopically distinct branches of the wavefunction were almost entirely free from interference and evolve approximately classically□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
1975
Deep Learning reinforcement learning
Demis Hassabis [][][][][] potentially a meta-solution to any problem[][][][][][][][][][][][][][][][][][][]
00000000000000000000000000000000000000

0000000000000005G/6GDStarlink 000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
Robert McNamara Whiz Kids

Kissinger
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
000 Pepper 0000000000000000000000000000000000
$ \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
not scaling toxicity
1UTStarcomUTStarcom
3 WebEx_Zoom
4.
00000000000000000000000000000000000000

00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
Marvin Minsky DDDD The Emotion Machine: Commonsense Thinking, Artificial Intelligence, and the Future of the Human MindDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDD
00 Minsky 000000000000000000000000000000000000
000 Pepper 0000000000000000000000000000000000

00000000000000000000000000000000000000
000consciousness0000000000000000000000000000000000
0000000killing0000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000
00000000000000000000000000000000000000

00000000000000000000000000000000000000
(0000000000000000000000000000000000000